27

28

Joseph Panvini, Esq.
Joe.Panvini@MccarthyLawyer.com
McCarthy Law PLC
4250 N. Drinkwater Blvd., Ste. 320
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Phone: 602-456-8900 Fax: 602-218-4447

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS - EASTERN DIVISION

SCHILON ALEXANDER, Plaintiff,

v.

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA INC.; TRANSUNION LLC; and EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:22-cv-1527

Complaint for Damages: Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act

Plaintiff, Schilon Alexander, by and through undersigned counsel, upon information and belief, hereby complains as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This action arises out of Defendants' violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") whereby Plaintiff discovered inaccurate information reporting on his consumer credit reports, disputed that inaccurate information, and Defendants willfully or negligently refused to correct the inaccurate information on Plaintiff's consumer credit report, damaging Plaintiff.

II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff is, and was at all times hereinafter mentioned, a resident of the County of Cook, Illinois.

- 3. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiff was a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 4. Defendant, Santander Consumer USA Inc. ("Santander") is and at all times relevant hereto was, a lending institution regularly doing business in the State of Illinois.
- 5. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant Santander is a "person" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a(b) and also a "furnisher" of credit information as that term is described in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 *et seq*.
- 6. Defendant, TransUnion LLC ("TransUnion"), is a credit reporting agency, licensed to do business in Illinois.
- 7. Defendant TransUnion is, and at all times relevant hereto was, regularly doing business in the State of Illinois.
- 8. TransUnion is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d), to third parties.
- 9. TransUnion furnishes such consumer reports to third parties under contract for monetary compensation.
- 10. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant TransUnion was a "person" and "consumer reporting agency" as those terms are defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(b) and (f).
- 11. Defendant, Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), is a credit reporting agency, licensed to do business in Illinois.
- 12. Defendant Experian is, and at all times relevant hereto was, regularly doing business in the State of Illinois.
- 13. Experian is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d), to third

1 parties.

- 14. Experian furnishes such consumer reports to third parties under contract for monetary compensation.
- 15. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant Experian was a "person" and "consumer reporting agency" as those terms are defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(b) and (f).

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 16. That the Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").
- 17. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and as the Plaintiff resides in and the injury occurred in Cook County, Illinois and Defendants do business in Illinois.
- 18. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendants as Plaintiff resides in Illinois, Defendants have the necessary minimum contacts with the state of Illinois, and this suit arises out of specific conduct with Plaintiff in Illinois.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff is a consumer who is the victim of inaccurate reporting by Defendants Santander, TransUnion, and Experian (collectively, "Defendants"), and has suffered particularized and concrete harm.
- 20. Equifax, Experian, and Transunion are the three largest consumer reporting agencies ("CRAs") as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(f).
- 21. The CRAs' primary business is the sale of consumer reports (commonly referred to as "credit reports") to third parties and consumers.
- 22. Experian and TransUnion have a duty, under the FCRA, to follow reasonable procedures to ensure that the consumer reports they sell meet the standard of "maximum possible accuracy." 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b).
- 23. Plaintiff discovered a Santander account that did not belong to him appearing on his credit reports, #300001 (the "Account").

24. Plaintiff completed a Federal Trade Commission Identity Theft Report on February 7, 2022 reporting the fraudulent information associated with the Account for investigation and prosecution.

- 25. Plaintiff completed a police report on June 10, 2020 with the Chicago Police Department, under penalty of perjury, reporting the fraudulent information associated with the Account for investigation and prosecution.
- 26. The false information regarding the Account appearing on Plaintiff's consumer reports harms the Plaintiff because it does not accurately depict Plaintiff's credit history and creditworthiness, and vastly overstates his credit utilization.

PLAINTIFF'S WRITTEN DISPUTE

- 27. On or about February 8, 2022, Plaintiff sent a written dispute to TransUnion ("TransUnion Dispute"), disputing the inaccurate information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's TransUnion consumer report.
- 28. On or about February 8, 2022, Plaintiff sent a written dispute to Experian ("Experian Dispute"), disputing the inaccurate information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's consumer reports.
- 29. Upon information and belief, TransUnion and Experian forwarded Plaintiff's Dispute to Defendant Santander.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Santander received notification of Plaintiff's TransUnion Dispute and Experian Dispute ("Dispute Letters") from TransUnion and Experian.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Santander verified the erroneous information associated with the Account to TransUnion and Experian.
- 32. Santander failed to conduct an investigation, contact Plaintiff, contact third-parties, or review underlying account information with respect to the disputed information and the accuracy of the Account.
 - 33. TransUnion and Experian each did not conduct an investigation,

contact Plaintiff, contact third-parties, or review underlying account information with respect to the disputed information and the accuracy of the Account.

- 34. Upon information and belief, Santander failed to instruct TransUnion and Experian to remove the false information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's consumer reports.
- 35. TransUnion and Experian employed an investigation process that was not reasonable and did not remove the false information regarding the Account identified in Plaintiff's Dispute Letters.
- 36. At no point after receiving the Dispute Letters did Santander, TransUnion, or Experian communicate with Plaintiff to determine the veracity and extent of Plaintiff's Dispute Letters.
- 37. TransUnion and Experian relied on their own judgment and the information provided to them by Santander rather than grant credence to the information provided by Plaintiff.

COUNT I - TRANSUNION

FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT VIOLATION - 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b)

- 38. Plaintiff re-alleges and reaffirms the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. After receiving the TransUnion Dispute, TransUnion failed to correct the false information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's TransUnion consumer report.
- 40. Defendant TransUnion violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files Defendant TransUnion published and maintained concerning Plaintiff.
- 41. As a result of this conduct, action and inaction of Defendant

TransUnion, Plaintiff suffered damage, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, damage to reputation, emotional distress, and interference with Plaintiff's normal and usual activities for which Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

- 42. Defendant TransUnion 's conduct, action, and inaction were willful, rendering Defendant TransUnion liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.
- 43. In the alternative, Defendant TransUnion was negligent, entitled Plaintiff to recover damages under 15 U.S.C. §16810.
- 44. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from Defendant TransUnion, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and/or §1681o.

COUNT II - TRANSUNION

FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT VIOLATION - 15 U.S.C. §1681(i)

- 45. Plaintiff re-alleges and reaffirms the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 46. After receiving the TransUnion Dispute, TransUnion failed to correct the false information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's Experian consumer report.
- 47. Defendant TransUnion violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i by failing to delete inaccurate information in Plaintiff's credit files after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, by failing to conduct lawful reinvestigations, and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in Plaintiff's credit files.
- 48. As a result of this conduct, action and inaction of Defendant TransUnion, Plaintiff suffered damage, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, damage to reputation, emotional distress and interference with Plaintiff's normal and usual activities for which Plaintiff seeks

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

1718

1920

2122

23

2425

2627

28

damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

- 49. Defendant TransUnion's conduct, action, and inaction were willful, rendering Defendant liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.
- 50. In the alternative, Defendant TransUnion was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recover damages under 15 U.S.C. §1681o.
- 51. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from Defendant TransUnion pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and/or §1681o.

COUNT III - EXPERIAN

FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT VIOLATION - 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b)

- 52. Plaintiff re-alleges and reaffirms the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 53. After receiving the Experian Dispute, Experian failed to correct the false information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's Experian consumer report.
- 54. Defendant Experian violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files Defendant Experian published and maintained concerning Plaintiff.
- 55. As a result of this conduct, action and inaction of Defendant Experian, Plaintiff suffered damage, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, damage to reputation, emotional distress, and interference with Plaintiff's normal and usual activities for which Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.
- 56. Defendant Experian's conduct, action, and inaction were willful, rendering Defendant Experian liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.

Alexander v. Santander, et al.

57. In the alternative, Defendant Experian was negligent, entitled Plaintiff to recover damages under 15 U.S.C. §16810.

58. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from Defendant Experian, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and/or §1681o.

COUNT IV - EXPERIAN

FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT VIOLATION - 15 U.S.C. §1681(i)

- 59. Plaintiff re-alleges and reaffirms the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. After receiving the Experian Dispute, Experian failed to correct the false information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's Experian consumer report.
- 61. Defendant Experian violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i by failing to delete inaccurate information in Plaintiff's credit files after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, by failing to conduct lawful reinvestigations, and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in Plaintiff's credit files.
- 62. As a result of this conduct, action and inaction of Defendant Experian, Plaintiff suffered damage, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, damage to reputation, emotional distress and interference with Plaintiff's normal and usual activities for which Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.
- 63. Defendant Experian's conduct, action, and inaction were willful, rendering Defendant liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.
- 64. In the alternative, Defendant Experian was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recover damages under 15 U.S.C. §1681o.
 - 65. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from

COMPLAINT

Defendant Experian pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and/or §1681o.

COUNT V - SANTANDER

(Fair Credit Reporting Act Violation – 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b))

- 66. Plaintiff re-alleges and reaffirms the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. After receiving the Dispute Letters, Santander failed to correct the false information regarding the Account reporting on Plaintiff's consumer report.
- 68. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b) by failing to fully and properly investigate Plaintiff's disputes of Defendant Santander's representations; by failing to review all relevant information regarding Plaintiff's disputes; by failing to accurately respond to credit reporting agencies; by verifying false information; and by failing to permanently and lawfully correct its own internal records to prevent the re-reporting of Defendant Santander's representations to consumer credit reporting agencies, among other unlawful conduct.
- 69. As a result of this conduct, action, and inaction of Defendant Santander, Plaintiff suffered damages, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, damage to reputation, emotional distress, and interference with Plaintiff's normal and usual activities for which Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.
- 70. Defendant Santander's conduct, action, and inaction were willful, rendering Defendant liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.
- 71. In the alternative, Defendant Santander was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recover damages under 15 U.S.C. §1681o.
- 72. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from

Defendant Santander pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and/or 15 U.S.C. §1681o. 1 2 3 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks a reasonable and fair judgment against 5 Defendants for willful noncompliance of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and seeks 6 statutory remedies as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and demands: 7 A. Actual damages to be proven at trial, or statutory damages pursuant to 15 8 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A), of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 per 9 violation; 10 B. Punitive damages, pursuant 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2), for Defendant's 11 willful violation; 12 C. The costs of instituting this action together with reasonable attorney's fees 13 incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3); and 14 D. Any further legal and equitable relief as the court may deem just and 15 proper in the circumstances. 16 17 18 Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of March, 2022. 19 20 <u>/s/Joseph Panvini</u> 21 Joseph Panvini, Esq. McCarthy Law, PLC 22 4250 North Drinkwater Blvd, Suite 320 23 Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Telephone: (602) 456-8900 24 Fax: (602) 218-4447 25 Joe.panvini@mccarthylawyer.com Attorney for Plaintiff 26 27 28